

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/605,028	KUCCHAR, DAVID M	
Examiner	Art Unit	
William P. Watkins III	1772	

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Rejection(s) discussed:
Lyon in view of Trueblood

Claims discussed:
1

Prior art documents discussed:
Lyon, Bruno '751

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Wm. W. Cutler
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: applicant agreed to amend the claims by examiner's amendment to recite more of the specific slit structure shown in the instant Figures that enables the formation of the cross members in order to fully define over Lyon. Differences between Bruno '751 and the instant claimed invention were discussed..